

2017 Postgraduate Student Paper Contest Rubric

Written Presentation Evaluation (40%)

	Below Average	Great Starting Point	High Quality	Very High Quality
#1 Concise, informative abstract	1 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 10
#2 Flow of ideas, integration of literature to support purpose, approach and findings	1 - 9	10 - 13	14 - 17	18 - 20
#3 Adequacy of the conclusion	1 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 10
#4 Compliance with paper format guidelines (IEEE Format)	1 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 10
#5 Clarity and direction in exposition	1 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 10
#6 Grammar, spelling, style, and choice of words	1 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 8	9 - 10

Subject Matter Evaluation (60%)

#7 Originality of ideas, experimental procedures, processes, results or conclusions due mainly to the main author	1 - 4 <i>Limited</i>	5 - 6 <i>Reasonable</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>
#8 Originality analysis, interpretation, and restatement of inference based upon work of contributing authors <i>Note: If the paper and its contents are entirely the work of one author, repeat the #8 score here</i>	1 - 4 <i>Limited</i>	5 - 6 <i>Reasonable</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>
#9 Quality of technical, social or management content	1 - 4 <i>Limited</i>	5 - 6 <i>Reasonable</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>
#10 Factual and technical accuracy	1 - 4 <i>Limited</i>	5 - 6 <i>Reasonable</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>