

## 2017 Undergraduate Student Project Contest Rubric

### Disqualification:

video contains any offensive material it is disqualified  video contains any copyright material it is disqualified  video exceeds 3minutes it is disqualified

### Presentation Evaluation (50%)

	Below Average	Great Starting Point	High Quality	Very High Quality	Score
<b>#1 Title Page</b>	0 <i>None - No Title page advising that this is a submission for IEEE Australia Competition + Student Name/s</i>		10 <i>Very Good</i> Title page provided as per guidelines		
<b>#2 Originality in the way the video has been created / information presented</b>	1 - 14 Lacks Originality	15 - 19 Standard approach to present information with some elements of originality	20 - 25 Good - Very Good A strong attempt has been made to present the information in a new way	26 - 30 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i> I was completely surprised that this information can be presented in this original way	
<b>#3 Audience</b>	1 - 9 <i>Only suitable for a technical audience</i>	10 - 14 <i>An attempt has been made to present to a non-technical audience</i>	15 - 17 <i>Good - Very Good</i> A non-technical audience would understand this video	18 - 20 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i> <i>A non-technical audience would be able to relay this information easily</i>	
<b>#4 Clarity and quality of video</b>	1 - 4 Sound or video issues very noticeable	5 - 6 <i>Some minor sound or video issues</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i> No sound or video issues	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i> The sound and video are of high quality and add value to the video	
<b>#5 Grammar, spelling, tone, use of voice and choice of words</b>	1 - 4 Poor	5 - 6 Good	7 - 8 Fits with the theme of the video and the story being told	9 - 10 Fits perfectly with the theme of the video and the story being told	
<b>Total Score:</b>					

## Subject Matter Evaluation (50%)

#7 Purpose of the project or research well explained	1 - 4 <i>Limited</i>	5 - 6 <i>Reasonable</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>	
#8 Originality of the solution/product/process to meet the stated objectives	1 - 9 <i>Limited</i>	10 - 14 <i>Reasonable</i>	15 - 17 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	18 - 20 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>	
#9 Quality of technical, social or management content	1 - 4 <i>Limited</i>	5 - 6 <i>Reasonable</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>	
#10 Factual and technical accuracy	1 - 4 <i>Limited</i>	5 - 6 <i>Reasonable</i>	7 - 8 <i>Good - Very Good</i>	9 - 10 <i>Excellent - Outstanding</i>	

<b>Total Score:</b>	
---------------------	--

<b>Final Score:</b>	
---------------------	--